

# ADDENDUM No. 1

## RFP No. 25-11

### Street Surface Condition Rating and Analysis Services

**Due: February 20, 2025 at 2:00 P.M. (local time)**

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any) and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes two (2) pages.**

**The Proposer is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum may be considered non-conforming.**

**The following forms provided within the RFP Document should be included in submitted proposal:**

- **Attachment B - City of Ann Arbor Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment C - City of Ann Arbor Living Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment D - Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form of the RFP Document**

**Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.**

#### I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: Would the City consider alternate pavement condition rating methodologies in lieu of PASER?

Answer 1: No. The City of Ann Arbor is specifically interested in PASER ratings for our paved street network. PASER is the standard for the State of Michigan, and reporting templates provided by the State of Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC) are prepared based on the PASER system. Additionally, all of Ann Arbor's baseline data and goals for pavement condition over the past several years use the PASER rating system.

Question 2: If no to the above question, would the City permit submission of both the proposed alternate condition rating method and PASER data for comparison purposes?

Answer 2: Yes. The City of Ann Arbor would be willing to consider proposals that include the PASER rating system and an alternate condition rating system as an optional additional service, priced separately. Such proposals shall include an explanation of the alternate rating system and a justification for using an alternate rating system.

Question 3: We acknowledge the RFP states that the City will not entertain changes to its Professional Services Agreement, but we are wondering if the broad form indemnification language is flexible.

Answer 3: The City will not entertain changes to its Professional Services Agreement.

Offerors are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.