

# ADDENDUM No. 1

## RFP No. 22-51

### Gallup Park Vehicle and Pedestrian Bridge Design

**Due: June 16, 2022 at 2:00 P.M. (local time)**

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any) and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes three (3) pages.**

**The Proposer is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum may be considered non-conforming.**

**The following forms provided within the RFP Document should be included in submitted proposal:**

- **Attachment C – City of Ann Arbor Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment D - City of Ann Arbor Living Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment E - Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form of the RFP Document**

**Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.**

#### I. CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

Changes to the RFP documents which are outlined below are referenced to a page or Section in which they appear conspicuously. Offerors are to take note in its review of the documents and include these changes as they may affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

#### Section/Page(s)

#### Change

Pg. 13

As provided in RFP No. 22-51 Document:

3. Review the recommended schematic bridge design as shown in Attachment “A” and critique the cross section and plan. Propose refinements for constructability, cost savings, or other reasons, as necessary.

As updated herein:

3. Review and critically analyze the recommended schematic bridge design as shown in Attachment “A”. Propose improvements or changes for design, constructability, cost savings, or other reasons, as necessary.

*Comment: The intent with this change is to make sure the consultant will constructively analyze the recommended design and proposed changes if necessary.*

Pg. 15

As provided in RFP No. 22-51 Document:

1. Prepare an engineer's estimate of probable costs at 50%, 75%, and 100% project milestones to ensure budget compliance.

As updated herein:

2. Prepare design plan sets and an engineer's estimate of probably costs for review at 50%, 75%, and 100% project milestones to ensure budget compliance and design coordination.

*Comment: The intent with this change is to make sure the consultant will provide plan sets for review at regular intervals.*

Page 18

As provided in RFP No. 22-51 Document:

Proposals should not be more than 30 sheets (60 sides), not including required attachments and resumes.

As updated herein:

Proposals do not have a limit of pages/sheets.

*Comment: The intent with this change is to provide offerors with more flexibility given the complexity of the project.*

## II. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: Will construction administration services be included in this scope of work?

Answer 1: No, construction administration services are not included in this contract but will likely be added later in the project once there is a better understanding of construction needs.

Question 2: Has the State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) definitively stated that a hydraulic analysis will not be required?

Answer 2: At this time the City has not received a definitive statement from EGLE that a hydraulic analysis will not be required.

Question 3: Have City Staff received any information regarding permit requirements?

Answer 3: City Staff met with EGLE staff for a preapplication meeting and clarified the following:

- A. The project will need to obtain a permit under Part 301, Inland Lakes and Streams; and Part 303, Wetlands Protection, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (NREPA).
- B. Additionally, the EGLE Joint Permit Application (JPA) will need to address the following:
  - a. Possible alternative design options to minimize project effects on aquatic resources; specifically, location of the new bridge, reducing size, or maintenance on the existing bridge.
  - b. The need to define the purpose of your project more clearly in the permit application.

- c. Needed clarification in the project plans; specifically, dimensions and volume of the activities occurring both below the Ordinary High-Water Mark of the Huron River and within the Huron River 100-year floodplain, including any grading, cut, or fill for driveway reconstruction.

Question 3: Have City Staff received any information regarding a preliminary Endangered Species Screening for the project?

Answer 3: City Staff met with EGLE staff for a preapplication meeting and clarified the following:

- A. There is the potential presence of state or federally listed threatened or endangered species on the site. This reach of the Huron River is a confirmed Group 3 Mussel Habitat, meaning a mussel survey would be required as part of the application.
  - a. Mussel survey season is typically June 1 – October 15. If relocation is required, relocation season is June 1 – September 15.
  - b. MDNR and US Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) should be notified in advance of surveys taking place. Survey plans should be provided to MDNR and/or USFWS.
- B. This project location is not within the State-designated Natural Rivers section of the Huron River.
- C. EGLE's Memorandum of Agreement with EPA requires federal review of your application due to the project being within a Group 3 Mussel reach. EPA will have 90 days to provide comments on the project.
- D. The applicant should expect comments from United States Fish & Wildlife Service and may be expected to incorporate these comments into their project design. The applicant may need to coordinate with United States Fish & Wildlife Service regarding comments provided.
- E. For timekeeping purposes, the applicant should expect review from EPA to take the full 90 days due to workload constraints.

Question 4: What is the timeline for the EGLE/EPA permit review process?

Answer 4: The general timeline for this project's permit is expected to be as follows:

- A. Application Review Period: 30 Days
  - o EGLE determines if the application is administratively complete.
  - o The application will be placed on hold if additional information or corrections are needed. The application will remain on hold until all requested information is received.
  - o Once administratively complete, the application moves into the Processing Period.
- B. Processing Period: 60 Days (or 120 Days with a Public Hearing)
  - o During this period:
    - Public Notice: 20 Days
    - A public hearing may be requested. This will automatically add 60 days to the Processing Period.
      - Federal Review: 90 Days (from date of public notice)
      - The applicant may need to request a processing deadline extension if federal review goes beyond our Processing Period deadline.
- C. Processing Deadline and Permitting Decision
  - o A permit decision is rendered at the end of the Processing Period. You will be issued either a permit or a denial letter.

Offerors are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.